

Reply 1: mystery doesn't undermine. 1000 years ago we had no idea how human brains worked, yet still could use them in explanations.

Reply 2: the objection over-generalizes. Striking observations *could* be explained by appeal to God.

Objection to P4

What about a *multiverse*?

Fine-tuning doesn't itself provide evidence for a multiverse. Compare: throwing scrabble tiles that then spell 'Hello world' is not evidence that millions of other people have been throwing scrabble tiles.

Upshot: We need *independent evidence* for a multiverse for it to be a rival explanation. Without it, fine-tuning provides evidence in favor of God existing.

E.g. clouds in the sky communicating answers to all our questions.